IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON MEDFORD DIVISION

MICHELLE FARABAUGH,

Case No. 1:21 cv 00363-CL **ORDER**

Plaintiff,

v.

1-800-FLOWERS.COM, INC., HARRY AND DAVID, LLC.

Defendants.

Magistrate Judge Mark Clarke filed Findings and Recommendation ("F&R") (doc. 26) on September 20, 2021. The matter is now before me. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72. No objections have been timely filed. Although this

"make an informed, final determination." Britt v. Simi Valley Unified Sch. Dist.,

relieves me of my obligation to perform a de novo review, I retain the obligation to

708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983), overruled on other grounds, United States v.

Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121-22 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc). The Magistrates

Act does not specify a standard of review in cases where no objections are filed. Ray

v. Astrue, 2012 WL 1598239, *1 (D. Or. May 7, 2012). Following the

recommendation of the Rules Advisory Committee, I review the F&R for "clear error

on the face of the record[.]" Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note (1983)

(citing Campbell v. United States District Court, 501 F.2d 196, 206 (9th Cir. 1974));

see also United States v. Vonn, 535 U.S. 55, 64 n.6 (2002) (stating that, "[i]n the

absence of a clear legislative mandate, the Advisory Committee Notes provide a

reliable source of insight into the meaning of" a federal rule). Having reviewed the

file of this case, I find no clear error.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that I ADOPT Judge Mark

Clarke's F&R (doc. 26).

Dated this 1st day of December, 2021.

/s/Ann Aiken
Ann Aiken
United States District Judge